

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/849,187	BREHM, ALEX
	Examiner Elizabeth A. Shaw	Art Unit 3644

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending allowance

(1) Elizabeth A. Shaw.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Dwight Diehl.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 September 2006

**Time:** 3:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 5*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See *Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1 and 5 were discussed to clarify if there was a distinction between the "indicator means" and "indicator element". It was determined that there were the same part and to avoid a 112 rejection, the word "means" was changed to "element" in claim 1.

See Examiner's Amendment. .